



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/662,294

09/16/2003

Tadashi Amada

02887.0249

4299

22852

7590

04/06/2009

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER
LLP

901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413

EXAMINER

LAO, LUN S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2614

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/06/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/662,294	Applicant(s) AMADA ET AL.	
	Examiner LUN-SEE LAO	Art Unit 2614	

All Participants:

(1) LUN-SEE LAO.

(2) Mr. Anthony J. Lombardi.

Date of Interview: 1 April 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 2:00 PM Eastern time

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
21 and 23

Prior art documents discussed:
Nogi (JP 2001-296891) and Higaki (US PAT. 6,804,396)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed objection to the drawings and 112 first paragraph and the limitation of claims 21 and 23 of this application, and the prior art to Nogi and Higaki. Mr. Anthony explained the invention of the claims and its novelty. The examiner discussed how the claims were interpreted in the prior art. Non agreement was reached

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Vivian Chin/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

